UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,278	04/24/2006	Roland Oehmann	7742.3006.001	2469	
23399 REISING ETH	7590 02/01/201 INGTON P.C.	0	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 4390		CALLAWAY, JADE R			
1KO1, MI 480	TROY, MI 48099-4390		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/01/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/577,278	OEHMANN, RO	LAND	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JADE R. CALLAWAY	2872		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress	
This application is abandoned in view of:				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-	
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.			
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 				
after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review	
7. X The reason(s) below:				
Examiner Callaway confirmed with Attorney David S	Simonelli on 1/25/10 that a respor	nse has not been	submitted.	
/Stephone B. Allen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2872	/JADE R. CALLAWAY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2872			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 0	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to	